

**From:** Archie <Archie@countrysidesolutions.co.uk>

**Sent:** 31 July 2019 21:53

**To:** A303 Stonehenge <A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Fwd: Re. Replies to Second Written Questions and requests for information (ExQ2)

Sent from my iPhone

Archie Read MRICS  
Countryside Solutions  
9 Scots Lane  
Salisbury  
SP1 3TR  
Office 01722 442494  
Mobile [REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Archie <[Archie@countrysidesolutions.co.uk](mailto:Archie@countrysidesolutions.co.uk)>

**Date:** 30 July 2019 at 21:36:33 BST

**To:** "<[A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>"  
<[A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Re. Replies to Second Written Questions and requests for information (ExQ2)

Sent from my iPhone

Archie Read MRICS  
Countryside Solutions  
9 Scots Lane  
Salisbury

SP1 3TR  
Office 01722 442494  
Mobile [REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** [Archie@countrysidesolutions.co.uk](mailto:Archie@countrysidesolutions.co.uk)  
**Date:** 29 July 2019 at 19:36:33 BST  
**To:** [A303Stonehenge@planninginpectorate.gov.uk](mailto:A303Stonehenge@planninginpectorate.gov.uk)  
**Subject: Re. Replies to Second Written Questions and requests for information (ExQ2)**

Dear Sir/Madam

Firstly, may I apologise for this late submission. I am currently away with only limited connectivity. I ask that account is taken of this submission despite being overdue.

CA.2.37

i. I fail to see how this proposal helps 'conserve and enhance the WHS' being a considerable distance from the designation boundary. It also appears to be significantly overstating matters to suggest this short stretch of dead-end footpath terminating at the verge of the A303 could in anyway 'provide a positive legacy for local communities'.

ii. Such a suggestion has been put to the Applicant on numerous occasions over a considerable length of time. To date no paperwork whatsoever has been received from the Applicant. For the avoidance of doubt, in common with virtually all compulsory powers sought in respect of my clients, alternatives have been proposed to achieve the same objectives by agreement. Subject to contract, my clients would be willing to proceed on that basis however no response has been received and we still await an initial substantive written response from the Applicant on all such proposals.

CA.2.46

i. Despite my client expressing a willingness, subject only to contract, to proceed by way of a Section 253 Highways Act 1980 Agreement, no negotiations have been initiated by the Applicant nor a substantive written proposal received.

ii. The plot is required for tree planting and my client is willing, subject only to contract, to enter into an agreement to undertake such work. In view of this willingness to achieve the objectives of the Scheme by agreement, powers of Compulsory Acquisition are not, in my opinion, justified.

The Applicant needs to engage, apply adequate resource and

conclude the plethora of private agreements proposed by affected parties, without inappropriately relying upon compulsory powers.

Yours faithfully,

Archie Read

Sent from my iPhone

Archie Read MRICS  
Countryside Solutions  
9 Scots Lane  
Salisbury  
SP1 3TR  
Office 01722 442494  
Mobile [REDACTED]